

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Crombleholme
Given Name	Philip
Person ID	1286241
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Crombleholme
Given Name	Philip
Person ID	1286241
Title	Our Spatial Strategy
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The strategy for the M28 postcode considering yet more new housing will not only affect health due to the sheer volume of new cars on the roads and the pollution generated at peak times, but the loss of green space, will affect wildlife and all residents children who will have to grow up in an area that has been concreted over, with a sole homes focus without sustainable infrastructure considered e.g. schools, Dr"s and amenities that support mental health and wellbeing. As a resident for 48 years its a disgrace what salford council are doing within the M28 postcode. Ignorant of how these plans will affect existing and future generation"s.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	I would abolish plans for all new homes in the M28 postcode. Many thousands of homes have already been built in the last 20 years creating the problems of today. I would instead look.at areas better served by rail into the city centre and consider how those areas can be maximised to meet new housing demand. Consider the no. Of derelect homes that can be refurbished in manchsester first! that alone would support much of the net housing need. We don't need to lose green spaces for our kids!
Family Name	Crombleholme
Given Name	Philip
Person ID	1286241
Title	JP-Strat 1 Core Growth Area
Type	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The policy is unsound because it seeks to maximise home building in an area completely unsuitable to cope the the volume proposed. There simply should be no more building in M28 or M27. Core growth should target areas better served by road and rail infrastructure in greater manchester, where queuing traffic is much more manageable. Its negligent to build homes in the above postcodes when the area already serves as a main artery for surrounding towns to access the M60. Something planning is either ignorant of or due to those approving not actually living in the area, they are unable to appreciate first hand the problem"s already impacting residents of the above postcodes.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	I have explained above the need for decision makers to consider only suitable areas with road and rail infrastructure. A guided bus does not count!
Family Name	Crombleholme
Given Name	Philip
Person ID	1286241
Title	JP-Strat 14 A Sustainable and Integrated Transport Network
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the	M28 1. Traffic: Heavy traffic along Leigh Road (A572) already at maximum capacity with no future plan agreed to resolve existing problems.

<p>consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</p>	<p>2. Congestion: All surrounding Roads to Leigh Road and this proposed development namely, Ellenbrook Road, Walkden Road, Worsley Road and Barton Road will be further impacted by the proposed 300 houses adding up to 600 extra cars. Problems have already been encountered with the additional traffic to and from RHS. These roads are already overloaded.</p> <p>3. Pollution: Atmospheric pollution from queuing vehicles along Leigh Road and from the motorway which is already one of the worst in Europe). Noise pollution. Salford Council already admit that noise will be an issue on this site due to its proximity to Leigh Road.</p> <p>4. Ecology: Alderwood which forms part of this site provides a quiet rural environment in what is generally an urban area. This development could have a serious affect on the woods.</p> <p>5. Greenbelt: Greenbelt was designated in the first place to protect it from development the loss of which would have a detrimental affect on the surrounding areas. Green belt should remain a natural buffer between built up areas providing some natural space for local people. Regard should be had for public rights of way which give people access to a small piece of countryside in an urban area.</p> <p>6. Public Transport: Worsley and Boothstown is badly provided for in terms of public transport with only one main route into Manchester along the East Lancashire Road but this is mainly oversubscribed from Leigh and Wigan. Bus services from/through Boothstown have been seriously curtailed in recent years making access to doctors surgeries, hospitals, shops and schools extremely difficult.</p> <p>7. Schools: Local schools are all oversubscribed meaning that children will have to travel greater distances to both primary and secondary schools adding further to congestion.</p> <p>8. Heritage: This site is in close proximity to many heritage assets and the RHS Bridgewater Gardens. This development could significantly harm the setting of these heritage assets.</p> <p>9. General infrastructure: As mentioned lack of suitable road network, access to most other services (Shops, Doctors, Chemists and hospitals). Access will be from Occupation Road (adjacent to the RHS) adding further strain to Leigh Road. Is there adequate access to main sewers without overloading existing sewers (already flood problems in the area)?</p> <p>10. This site should be removed from the Greater Manchester plan (Places for Everyone) priority should instead be given those sites which do not have the constraints of this site. Greenbelt or Green Field sites should not be built on until all brown field sites have been exhausted.</p>
Family Name	Crombleholme
Given Name	Philip
Person ID	1286241
Title	JPA 26: Land at Hazelhurst Farm
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No

<p>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Traffic: Heavy traffic along Leigh Road (A572) already at maximum capacity with no future plan agreed to resolve existing problems. 2. Congestion: All surrounding Roads to Leigh Road and this proposed development namely, Ellenbrook Road, Walkden Road, Worsley Road and Barton Road will be further impacted by the proposed 300 houses adding up to 600 extra cars. Problems have already been encountered with the additional traffic to and from RHS. These roads are already overloaded. 3. Pollution: Atmospheric pollution from queuing vehicles along Leigh Road and from the motorway which is already one of the worst in Europe). Noise pollution. Salford Council already admit that noise will be an issue on this site due to its proximity to Leigh Road. 4. Ecology: Alderwood which forms part of this site provides a quiet rural environment in what is generally an urban area. This development could have a serious affect on the woods. 5. Greenbelt: Greenbelt was designated in the first place to protect it from development the loss of which would have a detrimental affect on the surrounding areas. Green belt should remain a natural buffer between built up areas providing some natural space for local people. Regard should be had for public rights of way which give people access to a small piece of countryside in an urban area. 6. Public Transport: Worsley and Boothstown is badly provided for in terms of public transport with only one main route into Manchester along the East Lancashire Road but this is mainly oversubscribed from Leigh and Wigan. Bus services from/through Boothstown have been seriously curtailed in recent years making access to doctors surgeries, hospitals, shops and schools extremely difficult. 7. Schools: Local schools are all oversubscribed meaning that children will have to travel greater distances to both primary and secondary schools adding further to congestion. 8. Heritage: This site is in close proximity to many heritage assets and the RHS Bridgewater Gardens. This development could significantly harm the setting of these heritage assets. 9. General infrastructure: As mentioned lack of suitable road network, access to most other services (Shops, Doctors, Chemists and hospitals). Access will be from Occupation Road (adjacent to the RHS) adding further strain to Leigh Road. Is there adequate access to main sewers without overloading existing sewers (already flood problems in the area)? 10. This site should be removed from the Greater Manchester plan (Places for Everyone) priority should instead be given those sites which do not have the constraints of this site. Greenbelt or Green Field sites should not be built on until all brown field sites have been exhausted. <p>The strategy for the M28 postcode considering yet more new housing will not only affect health due to the sheer volume of new cars on the roads and the pollution generated at peak times, but the loss of green space, will affect wildlife and all residents children who will have to grow up in an area that has been concreted over, with a sole homes focus without sustainable infrastructure considered e.g. schools, Dr's and amenities that support mental health and wellbeing. As a resident for 48 years its a disgrace what salford council are doing within the M28 postcode. Ignorant of how these plans will affect existing and future generation"s.</p>

Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>I would build zero homes in this space. As stated elsewhere in this document by myself it is ignorant and negligent to seek to build new homes in an area that serves as a main artery for surrounding towns to access the m60.</p> <p>I would abolish plans for all new homes in the M28 postcode. Many thousands of homes have already been built in the last 20 years creating the problems of today. I would instead look at areas better served by rail into the city centre and consider how those areas can be maximised to meet new housing demand. Consider the no. Of derelect homes that can be refurbished in manchsester first! that alone would support much of the net housing need. We don"t need to lose green spaces for our kids!</p>
Family Name	Crombleholme
Given Name	Philip
Person ID	1286241
Title	JPA 27: Land East of Boothstown
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Traffic: Heavy traffic along Leigh Road (A572) already at maximum capacity with no future plan agreed to resolve existing problems. 2. Congestion: All surrounding Roads to Leigh Road and this proposed development namely, Ellenbrook Road, Walkden Road, Worsley Road and Barton Road will be further impacted by the proposed 300 houses adding up to 600 extra cars. Problems have already been encountered with the additional traffic to and from RHS. These roads are already overloaded. 3. Pollution: Atmospheric pollution from queuing vehicles along Leigh Road and from the motorway which is already one of the worst in Europe). Noise pollution. Salford Council already admit that noise will be an issue on this site due to its proximity to Leigh Road. 4. Ecology: Alderwood which forms part of this site provides a quiet rural environment in what is generally an urban area. This development could have a serious affect on the woods. 5. Greenbelt: Greenbelt was designated in the first place to protect it from development the loss of which would have a detrimental affect on the surrounding areas. Green belt should remain a natural buffer between built up areas providing some natural space for local people. Regard should be had for public rights of way which give people access to a small piece of countryside in an urban area. 6. Public Transport: Worsley and Boothstown is badly provided for in terms of public transport with only one main route into Manchester along the East Lancashire Road but this is mainly oversubscribed from Leigh and Wigan. Bus services from/through Boothstown have been seriously curtailed in

	<p>recent years making access to doctors surgeries, hospitals, shops and schools extremely difficult.</p> <p>7. Schools: Local schools are all oversubscribed meaning that children will have to travel greater distances to both primary and secondary schools adding further to congestion.</p> <p>8. Heritage: This site is in close proximity to many heritage assets and the RHS Bridgewater Gardens. This development could significantly harm the setting of these heritage assets.</p> <p>9. General infrastructure: As mentioned lack of suitable road network, access to most other services (Shops, Doctors, Chemists and hospitals). Access will be from Occupation Road (adjacent to the RHS) adding further strain to Leigh Road. Is there adequate access to main sewers without overloading existing sewers (already flood problems in the area)?</p> <p>10. This site should be removed from the Greater Manchester plan (Places for Everyone) priority should instead be given those sites which do not have the constraints of this site. Greenbelt or Green Field sites should not be built on until all brown field sites have been exhausted.</p> <p>The strategy for the M28 postcode considering yet more new housing will not only affect health due to the sheer volume of new cars on the roads and the pollution generated at peak times, but the loss of green space, will affect wildlife and all residents children who will have to grow up in an area that has been concreted over, with a sole homes focus without sustainable infrastructure considered e.g. schools, Dr's and amenities that support mental health and wellbeing. As a resident for 48 years its a disgrace what salford council are doing within the M28 postcode. Ignorant of how these plans will affect existing and future generation"s.</p>
<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>I would build zero homes in this space. As stated elsewhere in this document by myself it is ignorant and negligent to seek to build new homes in an area that serves as a main artery for surrounding towns to access the m60.</p> <p>I would abolish plans for all new homes in the M28 postcode. Many thousands of homes have already been built in the last 20 years creating the problems of today. I would instead look.at areas better served by rail into the city centre and consider how those areas can be maximised to meet new housing demand. Consider the no. Of derelect homes that can be refurbished in manchsester first! that alone would support much of the net housing need. We don"t need to lose green spaces for our kids!</p>
<p>Family Name</p>	<p>Crombleholme</p>
<p>Given Name</p>	<p>Philip</p>
<p>Person ID</p>	<p>1286241</p>
<p>Title</p>	<p>JPA 35: North of Mosley Common</p>
<p>Type</p>	<p>Web</p>
<p>Soundness - Positively prepared?</p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p>Soundness - Justified?</p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p>Soundness - Effective?</p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p>Compliance - Legally compliant?</p>	<p>No</p>

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>For gods sake Wigan council planning department. Talk to Salford to assess how home building under both councils as part of a wider collective group of council homebuilding might cause unbelievable impact on M28, M29 and M27 residents. As stated elsewhere. Use the land wisely, create a park? Do something for residents in an already overwhelmingly impacted area.</p> <p>For example:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Traffic: Heavy traffic along Leigh Road (A572) already at maximum capacity with no future plan agreed to resolve existing problems. 2. Congestion: All surrounding Roads to Leigh Road, Ellenbrook Road, Walkden Road, Worsley Road and Barton Road will be further impacted by the proposed homes plus salfords 300 houses adding up to 600 extra cars. Problems have already been encountered with the additional traffic to and from RHS. These roads are already overloaded. 3. Pollution: Atmospheric pollution from queuing vehicles along Leigh Road and from the motorway which is already one of the worst in Europe). Noise pollution. Salford Council already admit that noise will be an issue on this site due to its proximity to Leigh Road. 4. Ecology: Alderwood which forms part of this site provides a quiet rural environment in what is generally an urban area. This development could have a serious affect on the woods. 5. Greenbelt: Greenbelt was designated in the first place to protect it from development the loss of which would have a detrimental affect on the surrounding areas. Green belt should remain a natural buffer between built up areas providing some natural space for local people. Regard should be had for public rights of way which give people access to a small piece of countryside in an urban area. 6. Public Transport: Worsley and Boothstown is badly provided for in terms of public transport with only one main route into Manchester along the East Lancashire Road but this is mainly oversubscribed from Leigh and Wigan. Bus services from/through Boothstown have been seriously curtailed in recent years making access to doctors surgeries, hospitals, shops and schools extremely difficult. 7. Schools: Local schools are all oversubscribed meaning that children will have to travel greater distances to both primary and secondary schools adding further to congestion. 8. Heritage: This site is in close proximity to many heritage assets and the RHS Bridgewater Gardens. This development could significantly harm the setting of these heritage assets. 9. General infrastructure: As mentioned lack of suitable road network, access to most other services (Shops, Doctors, Chemists and hospitals). Access will be from Occupation Road (adjacent to the RHS) adding further strain to Leigh Road. Is there adequate access to main sewers without overloading existing sewers (already flood problems in the area)? 10. This site should be removed from the Greater Manchester plan (Places for Everyone) priority should instead be given those sites which do not have the constraints of this site. Greenbelt or Green Field sites should not be built on until all brown field sites have been exhausted. <p>The strategy for the M28 postcode considering yet more new housing will not only affect health due to the sheer volume of new cars on the roads and the pollution generated at peak times, but the loss of green space, will affect wildlife and all residents children who will have to grow up in an area that has been concreted over, with a sole homes focus without sustainable</p>

	<p>infrastructure considered e.g. schools, Dr's and amenities that support mental health and wellbeing. As a resident for 48 years its a disgrace what salford council are doing within the M28 postcode. Ignorant of how these plans will affect existing and future generation"s.</p>
<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>Remove from plan. Use the land as a green space. Invest in it for the residents living in the hundreds and hundreds of new homes already built. Talk to Salford! add up the homes built in the last 10 years in the wider areas and establish yourselves the facts the residents of Wigan and salford already know. What you are both proposing is harmful, unsustainable and a disgrace to future generations.</p> <p>I would build zero homes in this space. As stated elsewhere in this document by myself it is ignorant and negligent to seek to build new homes in an area that serves as a main artery for surrounding towns to access the m60.</p> <p>I would abolish plans for all new homes in the M28 postcode. Many thousands of homes have already been built in the last 20 years creating the problems of today. I would instead look.at areas better served by rail into the city centre and consider how those areas can be maximised to meet new housing demand. Consider the no. Of derelect homes that can be refurbished in manchsester first! that alone would support much of the net housing need. We don"t need to lose green spaces for our kids!</p>
<p>Family Name</p>	<p>Crombleholme</p>
<p>Given Name</p>	<p>Philip</p>
<p>Person ID</p>	<p>1286241</p>
<p>Title</p>	<p>Supporting Evidence</p>
<p>Type</p>	<p>Web</p>
<p>Redacted comment on supporting documents - Please give details of why you consider any of the evidence not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</p>	<p>Wigan and Salford councils are being negligent towards residents of M28.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Traffic: Heavy traffic along Leigh Road (A572) already at maximum capacity with no future plan agreed to resolve existing problems. 2. Congestion: All surrounding Roads to Leigh Road and this proposed development namely, Ellenbrook Road, Walkden Road, Worsley Road and Barton Road will be further impacted by the 1k plus mosley Common proposals and the proposed 300 houses in Salford.. Problems have already been encountered with the additional traffic to and from RHS. These roads are already overloaded. 3. Pollution: Atmospheric pollution from queuing vehicles along Leigh Road and from the motorway which is already one of the worst in Europe). Noise pollution. Salford Council already admit that noise will be an issue on this site due to its proximity to Leigh Road. 4. Ecology: Alderwood which forms part of this site provides a quiet rural environment in what is generally an urban area. This development could have a serious affect on the woods. 5. Greenbelt: Greenbelt was designated in the first place to protect it from development the loss of which would have a detrimental affect on the surrounding areas. Green belt should remain a natural buffer between built up areas providing some natural space for local people. Regard should be had for public rights of way which give people access to a small piece of countryside in an urban area. 6. Public Transport: Worsley and Boothstown is badly provided for in terms of public transport with only one main route into Manchester along the East Lancashire Road but this is mainly oversubscribed from Leigh and Wigan. Bus services from/through Boothstown have been seriously curtailed in recent years making access to doctors surgeries, hospitals, shops and schools extremely difficult.

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

	<p>7. Schools: Local schools are all oversubscribed meaning that children will have to travel greater distances to both primary and secondary schools adding further to congestion.</p> <p>8. Heritage: This site is in close proximity to many heritage assets and the RHS Bridgewater Gardens. This development could significantly harm the setting of these heritage assets.</p> <p>9. General infrastructure: As mentioned lack of suitable road network, access to most other services (Shops, Doctors, Chemists and hospitals). Access will be from Occupation Road (adjacent to the RHS) adding further strain to Leigh Road. Is there adequate access to main sewers without overloading existing sewers (already flood problems in the area)?</p> <p>10. This site should be removed from the Greater Manchester plan (Places for Everyone) priority should instead be given those sites which do not have the constraints of this site. Greenbelt or Green Field sites should not be built on until all brown field sites have been exhausted.</p>
Family Name	Crombleholme
Given Name	Philip
Person ID	1286241
Title	JPA 29: Port Salford Extension
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No